

THE ORANGEBUG NEWS

THAD. C. ANDREWS, Editor.
MALCOLM I. BROWNING, Associate
J. FELDER MEYERS, Associate.

The Orangebug News and the Chester Reporter.

Our readers may remember seeing in our columns some time since an article headed "The Chester Reporter as a Critic" in which we noticed a criticism of that paper upon an editorial in the News, entitled "The Race of March." That criticism was of a rather a flimsy character, than a political, though it seemed then that the Reporter did not agree with or like the politics of the News. The criticism was so lame, and the article in the Reporter, which contained the hit at the News, so trite and common place, that we merely noticed the critique, without inserting the article in our columns.

But the Reporter seems inclined to continue rather to renew its attack upon us, and in its issue of the 13th of May has the article which we copy below in which while pretending to criticize an article in the News' issue of May 8th, takes occasion to show itself very quarrelsome, and to say some very spiteful things of us.

Here is the article from the Reporter: THE ORANGEBUG NEWS, AGAIN. We hope our readers will not regard us as quarrelsome, nor that we are moved by any special spite against the News, because of certain articles which have appeared in our columns, whereof the News was the subject.

We entertain a high appreciation of the typographical virtues of said paper, and of the taste displayed in the selection of its literary and miscellaneous extracts, but we do most seriously deprecate much that its Editorials proclaim.

A Radical paper, openly and avowedly advocating with all its might, the principles and doctrines of that party; filling its editorial columns with arguments and assertions tending to stifle in the breast of every South Carolinian, whose misfortune it is to fall within the reach of their baleful influence, feeling, honor and duty, sees fit to affect a holy horror, because the Charleston News places it, and the Darlington Southerner, in the category of Radical newspapers published in the State.

As regards the Southerner, we have not as yet been able to fix its political status. Judging from the statements of the Darlington Democrat, we incline to the belief, that the Southerner, has been properly classified by the Charleston News.

The ORANGEBUG NEWS, in announcing its political creed claims that, communications and editorial articles stand upon the same footing. In that, while it publishes all communications regardless of politics, it has a right to publish editorial matter, which shall be exempt from the criticism of its contemporaries, articles upon which no conclusions as to its political convictions are to be based. Now, we think, no correct idea of the character and politics of a paper can be obtained outside the editorial columns. There we are to look for that, which is supposed to be the expression of opinions and ideas by which the paper is to be judged. Further on it defines Radicalism, and in so many words declares it the principle by which slavery was destroyed. That we do not deny, nor that it is done, regret. But when the ORANGEBUG NEWS asserts, that the Southern people rose in arms, solely for the purpose of perpetuating African Slavery, we assure it, that it is in error. Other and greater wrongs, of which it is now needless to speak, called upon Southern manhood for vindication. Again, the NEWS is in error, in concluding that what it calls "old deep rooted prejudice" is the barrier which rises to prevent our falling into the arms of Radicalism. Slavery is a thing of the past, and as we said above, we do not regret it. Freed from servitude, none feel a greater interest than ourselves, in seeing the black man rise to a condition worthy of a freeman. The prejudice of which it speaks does not attach to the past, but to the present.

We cannot coincide with the NEWS in its oft repeated laudations of the Radical party, in and out of this State. We cannot agree that men, many of whom are bankrupt in character, should infest our State and occupy our high places, to the exclusion of native born citizens. We never will admit that ignorance, combined with the vilest corruption, should enact laws for the government of this people. Are these the issues which the NEWS thinks cannot be "evaded"? Is this "the situation" we are advised by the NEWS to accept? Good Lord deliver us!

For its contemptuous allusion to "Democratic Clubs," we feel greatly inclined to say something bitter, but for the present refrain. We are sorry to learn from

the NEWS, that "many intelligent and influential men" in Orangeburg County, concur in its "Common Sense" views. After a careful consideration of the profession of political creed made by the NEWS, we are unable to arrive at any other conclusion than that the NEWS does not deny being Radical, but somehow dislikes to be so called.

Now we call attention to the fact that the attack—a very spiteful one—comes from the Reporter; we are simply upon the defensive, we would also ask our readers to notice the very unfair manner in which the Reporter treats us. That critical paper does not publish the article in the NEWS, in its columns, and then criticize it. It does not quote or copy one single sentence of our article—does not even say once, in its critique, what is the article, that is under its hostile treatment. It only tells its readers in its own words, and in its own way, what the NEWS says, and even says some things for the NEWS, and then criticizes and abuses us.

Now for the readers of the Reporter, who, we can imagine, must be very much amused and edified by such a mode of presenting to their notice a discussion, a criticism, or a quarrel, in which their valiant little paper has so boldly and rashly engaged itself. We have only to say to them, that if the Reporter would only publish the article which it criticizes, we would be assured of our vindication. If the Reporter would do this, insert our article and then criticize it, its readers could see the truth of the matter and would, no doubt, agree with us, that while the Reporter is a very "good little paper," in its way, to publish local matters, and copy from and endorse the pieces in the Charleston papers, when it "assumes the role of a critic," it makes a very poor "out" of it.

If our own readers, who must admit that we have taken a fairer method of treating the matter, and one better calculated to let them see "what is the matter," we ask indulgence while we notice this onslaught of the Reporter.

Our readers have seen the article entitled "THE ORANGEBUG NEWS" in our paper of May 8th. This is the article which the Reporter is prating about. In it we embodied the political creed of our paper. We said that we had "accepted the situation" that is "freedom and citizenship of the colored man," and thought that this was the only way in which the evils, under which the people of the State now groan, could be met and removed. Unless we accepted the situation, men whom perhaps we would like less than those who had common sense now to do so, would come from abroad and occupy the places of power. This is our belief as to the political condition of our State. For this expression of our opinion, the Reporter calls the NEWS "Radical," and goes on to abuse us in language as near "hifaluten" as we suppose that paper can ascend.

The Reporter says: "THE ORANGEBUG NEWS in announcing its political creed claims that communications and editorial articles stand upon one footing," and that "editorial matter should be exempt from criticism," and "no conclusions as to its political convictions should be based upon them." Three distinct statements, which the Reporter makes for us. The ORANGEBUG NEWS in the article of May 8th, says: "while we open our columns to communications of whatever political complexion, we reserve to our editorial columns the privilege accorded to all others, that of writing what we think." As to criticism, we said nothing at all about it. Fair and intelligent criticism we invite, and are even noticing the unfair and nondescript criticism of this Reporter. As to "no conclusions being based upon editorial articles," we said this was where to look for the political opinions of the paper and asked that our editorials be read "without prejudice and be taken in connection with each other."

The Reporter next says that we define Radicalism, and attribute to it the destruction of slavery.

The NEWS said that the progress of thought and the human mind demanded of us the emancipation of our slaves. If this is Radicalism, we must think the name will lose all its odium hereafter. If to put ourselves in the progress of civilization, and to grapple with the new and mighty issues of to-day is to be radical, God speed the time when the

world will be Radical. However the Reporter winds itself up here—corners itself, and then says, "we do not deny," we agree with the NEWS.

The Reporter then goes on to correct the "errors" of the NEWS. That the Southern people did not rise solely to save slavery. Oh! well, we will not bandy words with this Reporter. There may have been some little side issues but every one to-day realizes that the great question at stake was slavery or not.

The next two or three lines, our readers have before them—perhaps the NEWS is annihilated by them—perhaps they are nonsense; we admit we do see not what the Reporter aimed at. It says: "Prejudice is not what prevents people accepting the situation, which it calls Radicalism." Then: "none feel a greater interest than ourselves in seeing the black man rise to a condition worthy of a freeman." So the Reporter does "accept the position," that is the freedom and citizenship of the black man. This is all the NEWS does, and the NEWS is Radical. The Reporter does the same and the Reporter is radical. Oh! la! that Reporter is a phunny phellow! Here he is cornered again, and in his confusion utters the following: "The prejudice of which it speaks does not attach to the past but to the present." We have done our best to unravel that or to see its pertinence to the Reporter's abuse of us, but give up in despair. We can only say that Reporter is impertinent.

The Reporter ralls again and says: "We cannot agree that men, bankrupt in character, &c., should occupy our government to the exclusion of native born citizens." The NEWS does not agree to it either, and advises "native born citizens" to meet the issues of the situation, and waiving fruitless prejudices and lamentations, themselves to accept these positions. The "issues" which have been settled for us are the enfranchisement of those whom prejudice would make us accept only as slaves. These issues have been settled by the voice of mankind as in his progress he discovers new truths in the moral world, and new principles in the material world, have been settled by the stern fiat of victory!

The Reporter after all this unfair treatment, all this distortion, all this misconstruction, all this spiteful abuse, then threatens to say "something bitter."

We are not scared. We only ask the Reporter to deal fairly, and we shrink from no discussion. We invite fair and intelligent criticism. We dare the Reporter to publish the article which it criticizes in its columns. Had it pursued this course, the article itself would have been its own vindication, and the readers of the Reporter could themselves have seen how weak was the criticism, and how undeserved the abuse which we have received.

Pursuing the course the Reporter has done, while its readers cannot see the weakness of their paper's criticism, and how undeserved is its abuse, it must strike them how ungenerous and unfair is its course.

While our views are not those of the old Democratic party in this State—as we have said—they are honest and true, and honestly believed to be the best for our people, and we repeat that we invite fair and intelligent criticism and discussion.

THE ORANGEBUG NEWS, OPENS ITS EYES.—THE ORANGEBUG NEWS, one of the two Radical papers published in the country district of South Carolina, is very bold, all at once, in its denunciation of the Orangeburg and Charleston Radicals. It says:

"We have had too many low drunken exhibitions on the tapis for the last two months, for the good of the party—and if true Republicans don't take hold of the matter we will, as a party organization, go to the dogs head foremost. There is but one way for us to remain respectable and carry weight with those who differ with us in politics, and to enhance the interest of the true and honest of our party, and that is to crush out the dishonest element now at work for the entire disruption of our organization."

Again: "We said in our last issue that we did not expect to meddle much in politics—but 'circumstances alter cases'—and we intend to pull our gloves off for awhile, and if we can add a little respectability to the Republican party of Orangeburg County we intend to do so, and with unglued hands we will handle those who have, and who still persist in mak-

ing it a hiss and scoff in the eyes of respectable and decent people."

And this is the choicest bit of all: "The disgraceful exhibitions or performances of the City Council of Charleston are an everlasting disgrace to the Republicans and citizens of Charleston. Such men must be kept out of office. Such disgraceful scenes, brought about by low-bred scoundrels who have worked themselves into position in the Republican party, must be stopped, and the sooner the better for all concerned. We think that poor Charleston has suffered tremendously and she has our sincere sympathy. If she can possibly bear this last horrible affliction, a brighter day will yet dawn for her. Such scamps as now disgrace her City Council are short-lived, and we hope their end will be the halter."

This is brave talking, but the NEWS will soon learn that it will not be permitted to hold with the hare and run with the hounds; or in other words, to be a Radical paper and expose the "corruption, dishonesty and fraud" of the Radical party. It must either take Radicalism with all its faults, or come over to the side, not of Democracy, perhaps, but of honest, straightforward opposition to the party which is doing its best to ruin our people and the State. Which course does the NEWS prefer?

The caption of the above article is put to us in the form of a query, intended to elicit, or extract from us a declaration which we never expect to make, viz: that we will advocate the worn out and jaded principles of Democracy. But if the Charleston Daily News above quoted from, is really desirous to know whether it is our intention to belong to the Republican party and thus to denounce the dishonesty and indecencies which may occur in it, or whether we intend to throw a veil, or covering over any thing that partakes of dishonesty, every thing from which honest men would recoil with disgust, horror and repugnance, we do, without any hesitancy whatever, pronounce the former to be our determined course. But before we go further with this—we might say scorn-extorted definitions of the policy and politics of our paper—we repudiate and deny the epithet "Radical" being in any wise applicable to or characteristic of the views entertained by the Editors of the ORANGEBUG NEWS. There is a difference, and a very great one, between a temporary knows it, a striking dissimilarity between Radicalism and Republicanism. There is no approximating of the two terms, and while we profess and publish, that we are Republicans, and intend to advocate Conservative Republican principles, we shrink nervously from the idea of ever allying ourselves to the principles or doctrines inculcated by extreme Radicals, by men who have nothing in view but an inordinate desire for gain, for the means by which to live with little or no regard to the mode and means in which it is obtained. No, we consider it our highest duty and privilege to expose, and make an open exhibition of every thing that could be prejudicial to the public interest and welfare of our country. And this is more than any Democratic journal has ever had the boldness or honesty to do, with the evident frauds perpetrated by that party; there is not upon record a single instance where a democratic newspaper ever damned its party by stripping it of its mask of conventional delusions by attempting to expose any of the corruptions, certainly known to have occurred in the democratic party, while this course of "straight forward honesty, and opposition to wrong," has always been a distinguishing feature with true Republican journals.

We regard it our duty to put down wrong in our party, this is our right, our privilege, and we claim it upon the same principle that Paddy claimed when he whipped his wife, but no third party had better interfere or attempt to assist in ministering the correction.

The NEWS goes on to say that we stigmatized our regular candidate for School Commissioner as a horse thief, and were bold in advocating Mr. Wm. Mount, an independent candidate. Well, does the NEWS see anything wrong in this? because we knew Edward Cain to be an ignorant and a disreputable one at that, and totally unfit for the office for which he was backed mainly by a few greedy white men, and in consequence of which we opposed him, is that "holding with the hare and running with the hounds?" On the contrary it is a course that every honest man will endorse as a right one. We advocated

Mr. Mount because we knew him to be honest, upright and intelligent, a man in whose breast double dealing and dishonesty finds no place of lodgment.

We will always be as quick in denouncing "corruption, dishonesty and fraud" as the Charleston Daily News, but the manner and stand-point from which we shall do it, cannot be assigned to us by Radical Democratic journals.

Chicago claims to hold the most valuable horse in America, "Bashaw, Jr., for which \$35,000 has lately been refused.

Efforts are making in England to amalgamate the two branches of the profession, by abolishing the distinction between attorneys and barristers.

Captain R. H. Fletcher, a prominent citizen of Dawson, Georgia, and universally esteemed, was shot and instantly killed a few days since. John Lee has confessed the deed, and is now in jail to answer.

Those Englishmen who oppose the proposition to legalize marriage with a deceased wife's sister, urge that such a license would result in most horrible jealousy, and that wives would never allow their sisters to visit them when their husbands were at home.

Office County Commissioners

ORANGEBURG, S. C., May 27, 1869.
The Commissioners for Orangeburg County, in accordance with 11 and 12 Sections of the Act Defining the Duties and Jurisdiction of County Commissioners, having had the same laid out into Twenty-Four Townships, the following named persons are appointed Electors for the same, and will hold an Election for Town Officers on the 14th day of June next, at the places herein designated.

- ELECTORS.**
No. 1. BRANCHVILLE TOWNSHIP.—A. Loryea, W. V. Meyers, Henry Riley. Place of Election Branchville.
No. 2. COW CASTLE TOWNSHIP.—Dr. F. W. Voght, L. E. Meyers, June Voght. Place of Election Voght's Store.
No. 3. PROVIDENCE TOWNSHIP.—David L. Connor, Joshua A. Huff, Andrew King. Place of Election Ebenezer Church.
No. 4. MIDDLE TOWNSHIP.—T. P. Stokes, Samuel Fairry, J. P. M. Fournes. Place of Election Middle Pen Academy.
No. 5. PINE GROVE TOWNSHIP.—Dr. A. T. Darby, D. J. Zeigler, Harry Stuart. Place of Election D. J. Zeigler's.
No. 6. GOODBY'S TOWNSHIP.—J. H. Felder, Styles R. Mellichamp, Shed Felder. Place of Election Felder's Store.
No. 7. POPLAR TOWNSHIP.—Wm. Snider, James Felder, Scipio John. Place of Election at Snider's Store.
No. 8. VANCE'S TOWNSHIP.—D. K. Norris, D. Avinger, Jerry Martin. Place of Election Avinger's Store.
No. 9. LYONS TOWNSHIP.—Dr. J. A. Keller, John Sellers, M. K. Holman. Place of Election Dr. Keller's Store.
No. 10. ORANGE TOWNSHIP.—W. E. Williams, M. Albrecht, David Gillaney. Place of Election Orangeburg C. H.
No. 11. CAW CAW TOWNSHIP.—L. A. Zeigler, Wm. Bennett, Godfrey Murph. Place of Election Kennedy's Mill.
No. 12. AMELIA TOWNSHIP.—W. C. Hlae, Lawrence Clark, Samuel Wright. Place of Election Lewisville.
No. 13. NEW HOPE TOWNSHIP.—James Stokes, M. L. Browning, Phillip Gray. Place of Election Rowe's Pump.
No. 14. ELIZABETH TOWNSHIP.—Dr. R. V. Dannerly, Jos. A. Minnick, R. Whetston. Place of Election Knott's Saver Mill.
No. 15. EDISTO TOWNSHIP.—B. Z. Smoke, George Lynch, Edward Clark. Place of Election Oakman's Store.
No. 16. ZION TOWNSHIP.—B. H. Barton, Henry Smoke, Jr., Ben Moss. Place of Election Jos. Smoke's Mill.
No. 17. UNION TOWNSHIP.—W. F. Chaplin, Emanuel Pott, W. A. Easterlin. Place of Election Quattbaum's Mill.
No. 18. LIBERTY TOWNSHIP.—J. J. Douglas, John Bolen, Wm. Blum. Place of Election J. C. Kennerly's.
No. 19. GOODLAND TOWNSHIP.—Vince Bates, Andrew Sturkey, John Scott. Place of Election Corbettville.
No. 20. WILLOW TOWNSHIP.—H. H. Bonnett, Henry Rickenbaker, George Von. Place of Election Gregory's Old Store.
No. 21. ROCKY GROVE TOWNSHIP.—William O'Dowl, Stephen Oliver, Richard Peel. Place of Election Johtown.
No. 22. HOPEWELL TOWNSHIP.—Martin Livingston, J. W. Joiner, David Carson. Place of Election Daniel Livingston's Mill.
No. 23. HERBON TOWNSHIP.—Wm. Peel, Jacob Schofield, Samuel Hoffman. Place of Election Peel's Store.
No. 24. TABERNACLE TOWNSHIP.—Suaual Kitchens, John Brodie, Prince Glover. Place of Election Tyler's Mill.

The above named Electors are required to give Notice of the Election in Four Public places within each Township, and whose Duty it will be to Open and Keep the Polls, &c.

[Signed.] WM. N. MOUNT,
Chairman B. C. C.
C. S. RULL, C. B. C. C.
May 29

NEW GOODS.
THE SUBSCRIBER RESPECTFULLY informs his friends of ORANGEBURG AND BARNWELL that he has taken the SLATER STORE at BARNBERG

where he is now opening a complete and select stock of DRY GOODS, GROCERIES, HARDWARE, FANCY ARTICLES, and in short everything to be found in a first class Store, which he invites their attention, and which will be sold at the LOWEST POSSIBLE PRICES. My business at GRAHAM'S TURN-OUT will still be continued under the direction of Mr. R. D. HOLMAN, who will be glad to see his friends. F. E. SALINAS,
May 8—1m Barnberg, S. C.

HYMENEAL.

MARRIED—On the 23d inst., by the Rev. John S. Hayden, Mr. L. S. SANDFORD to Miss HARRIET R. ROWELL. All of this County.

MARRIED—On the 13th inst., at the residence of the bride's father, by Rev. E. A. Austin, Mr. ELIJAH FANNING to Miss ELLISTEEN KNIGHT. All of Orangeburg County.

The State of South Carolina

ORANGEBURG COUNTY.

In the Sessions—May Term, 1869.

THE ATTENTION OF THIS COURT having been called by the Grand Jury to the great and uncalled for injustice done to Citizens, sometimes, by being put under arrest, and on falling to give security for their appearance in Court, by being committed to Jail without just cause or substantial proof of their guilt.

On motion of D. H. Chamberlain, Attorney General and Solicitor of the First Circuit, it is ordered: That the Clerk of the Court do call the attention of all Magistrates of this County to the Provisions of the Act of the General Assembly, relative to the Duties of Magistrates, and also to Section 14, Article 1 and Section 23 of Article 4, of the Constitution of this State; and also to the Provision of the Act of the General Assembly, passed September 24th, 1868, and that the Clerk of the Court do notify the said Magistrates that in future they will be held by this Court to a strict accountability for any neglect or violation of the Provisions of Law above referred to.

R. B. CARPENTER,
Judge of 1st Circuit.

May 11th, 1869.—22

E. J. Oliveros, M. D.

DRUGGIST AND PHARMACEUTIST.
INVITES THE ATTENTION OF THE PUBLIC to his splendid and extensive assortment of Drugs, Medicines, Perfumeries, Paints, Oils and Garden Seeds, &c., Queen's Delight, Rosalalis, Catholicon, Horehound, Sarsaparilla, Hair Vigor, Hostetter's Bitters, H. H. Hair Renewer, Plantation Bitters, Mrs. Chevalier Hair Wash, Carolina Bitters, Mrs. Allen's Hair Restorer. Remember that Dr. OLIVEROS' DRUG STORE is the place where you can save your Money! MONEY! MONEY!!!

E. J. OLIVEROS, M. D.,
Druggist and Pharmaceutist,
May 2, 69—1y Orangeburg C. H., S. C.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES—For South Carolina—May Term 1869.—In the Matter of W. W. Legner, of Orangeburg County Bankrupt—Petition for Relief and Final Discharge in Bankruptcy.—Ordered that a hearing be had on the 17th day of June, 1869 at Federal Court House in Charleston, S. C., and that all Creditors, &c., of said Bankrupt appear at said time and place, and show cause, if any they can, why the prayer of the Petitioner should not be granted. By order of the Court, the 21st day of May, 1869.

DANIEL HOLBECK, Clerk, of the District Court of the U. S. for S. C.
May 29

Geo. W. Williams & Co.,
WHOLESALE GROCERS,
COTTON FACTORS & BANKERS,
Hayne Street, Charleston, S. C.

Williams, Taylor & Co.,
COMMISSION MERCHANTS,
65 Beaver St. and 20 Exchange Place,
NEW YORK.

FURNITURE, BEDDING, &c.
R. WHITE'S Furniture Ware-Rooms, corner of Market and King Streets, Charleston, S. C., supplies many families, both Country and Town to their full satisfaction. Read a few quotations from letters he received:
NEWBERY, April 8, 1869
Mr. R. WHITE—Dear Sir: The Furniture I ordered of you and was just as you represented it. Numbers of visitors have looked at it, and it is much admired, in fact nothing like it in this place. It may be the means of your selling more here.
Yours truly,
Another from Winnsboro, S. C., says:
"We are well pleased with the suit. Send Wardrobe to match."
And one from Orangeburg County:
"Furniture arrived safe, and family delighted."
Try him for yourself.
He keeps CRADLES too.
Corner King and Market Streets,
May 8—12m Charleston, S. C.

JUST RECEIVED.
DRY GOODS, STRAW HATS, SHOES, FACTORY YARN, &c. Also a fresh supply of CHEAP GROCERIES, MEAL and GRIST, Fresh, always on hand. If you want Good and Fresh LEMONS, and other kinds of Fruit, just give me a call. Also have just received a new PATENT POT LIFTER, which will be found to be more convenient than Hooks either for Stoves or Fire Places.
CASH or BARTER given for all kinds of Country PRODUCE. Please call at J. W. PATTERSON'S, Opposite Ball & Scull's, May 30

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, ORANGEBURG COUNTY.
In Equity.
William C. Moss vs. Bill for foreclosure of mortgage.
It appearing to my satisfaction that J. B. Humbert and M. Emma Humbert his wife, and George H. Pousser, three of the defendants in this case, are absent from, and reside beyond the limits of this State, on motion of Messrs. Izlar & Dill, Co. Plaintiff's Solicitors, it is ordered: That the said J. B. Humbert, M. Emma Humbert and George H. Pousser, do appear and plead, answer or demur to the Complaint's said bill within the time prescribed by law, or the said bill will be taken pro confesso against them.
Clerk's Office, GEO. BOLIVAR, Orangeburg, S. C., U. C. P.
May 14, 1869.
may 22 7c

ORANGEBURG COUNTY, PROBATE COURT.
Ex parte John Adden, Administrator Estate of George Merhtins.
WHEREAS, John Adden having filed his petition and final return in this Court praying for letters of dismissal as Administrator of the Estate and Effects of George Merhtins, deceased. Notice is hereby given to all concerned that on Friday the 28th day of May next, I will proceed to grant said dismissal.
Witness my hand and seal of Court, this 27th day of April, A. D. 1869.
THAD. C. ANDREWS,
Judge of Probate.
may 1—4t

Groceries, Hardware, &c.
Just received a fresh supply of SUGARS, COFFEE, BACON, FLOUR, &c. Good MOLASSES at 65 cts. per gallon. COCONUTS, ORANGES, LEMONS, &c. BOOKS AND STATIONERY of all descriptions at low prices.
TO RENT—A set of Rooms suitable for a small family, with Kitchen and Stable, on the Premises.
KIRK ROBINSON,
april 17—1y Russell Street.

WHITE'S MARBLE WORKS.

117 Meeting-Street, Charleston, So. Ca.
MONUMENTS, HEAD STONES, MARBLE and Stone Tile, Blue Flagging and Brown Stone Work, of every description. SCOTCH GRANITE MONUMENTS, Iron Railings.

R. B. WHITE. B. D. WHITE.
May 1 c 12m

Sheriff's Sales.

By virtue of sundry writs of f. fa., to me directed I will sell to the highest bidder at Orangeburg Court House, on the 1st Monday in June next, for cash the following property, viz:

ALSO
All the right, title and interest of Albert R. Taber, in the following two tracts of land situated in the County of Orangeburg, S. C., one containing 75 acres and known as the Daniel Karick tract, and the other known as the Buckett tract containing 50 acres, more or less. Levied on as the property of the said Albert R. Taber, at the suit of Josiah M. Crowswell.

ALSO
One Steam Saw Mill of 30 Horse Power situated in the Edisto Fork, near Mr. Daniel Smoke's, with the Belting Saw and all Fixtures belonging to a Steam Saw Mill. 8 Mules, 1 Wagon, 2 Timber Carts with fixtures, about 20,000 feet Lumber, 2 Saddles, a parcel of Geers and Cooking Utensils. All but Mill and Mules will be sold on Tuesday following Salesday at the Mill.

ALSO
One tract of land containing 504 acres more or less. Levied on as the property of Elisha Haggott at the suit of William Knotts.

ALSO
75 bushels Corn, 900 lbs. Fodder, 8 head Cattle, 10 Hogs, 1 Gun, Household and Kitchen Furniture, a quantity of Plantation Tools, and other articles usually found on a farm. Levied on as the property of Jesse K. Shiver at the suit of Wm. H. Watt.

ALSO
One tract of land containing 20 acres more or less, belonging to estate place of Charles H. Trick. Levied on as the property of C. R. Trick at the suit of Ezekiel & Kohn.

ALSO
One tract of land containing 455 acres more or less, and all the right, title and interest of J. Tate in 655 acres, set off as his homestead. Levied on as the property of P. J. Tate at the suit of Richard DeTreville.

ALSO
The life Estate of Albert R. Taber of two tracts of land situated and lying in Orangeburg County, described in the marriage settlement as purchased by the Executors of Wm. S. Thomson, one containing 900 acres more or less, and the other containing 100 acres more or less, upon the following terms and conditions, to wit:
One-half cash, the balance on a credit of one year, secured by bond of the purchaser bearing interest, and a mortgage of the premises.

ALSO
Salley vs. Corbett.
Under order in Equity in this case, I will sell for cash, at Orangeburg C. H., on Monday 7th June next, the estate in remainder executant, upon the determination of Mrs. Ursula Corbett's dower, in the following lands which were of W. H. Corbett, deceased, viz:
The homestead on Goodland Swamp, containing 386 acres, bounded by lands of B. Von, W. Phillips and J. Corbett.
"The Elmyr place" on the Orangeburg lands of A. Porter, B. Phillips and J. C. Fanning, and
The undivided half of the Tanney place, 344 acres. Purchasers to pay for paper &c. Sheriff's Office. H. RIGGS, Orangeburg C. H., S. C., S. O. C.
May 6, 1869.
may 8 1d

The State of South Carolina, ORANGEBURG COUNTY.

It appearing to my satisfaction that J. B. Humbert and M. Emma Humbert his wife, and George H. Pousser, three of the defendants in this case, are absent from, and reside beyond the limits of this State, on motion of Messrs. Izlar & Dill, Co. Plaintiff's Solicitors, it is ordered: That the said J. B. Humbert, M. Emma Humbert and George H. Pousser, do appear and plead, answer or demur to the Complaint's said bill within the time prescribed by law, or the said bill will be taken pro confesso against them.
Clerk's Office, GEO. BOLIVAR, Orangeburg, S. C., U. C. P.
May 14, 1869.
may 22 7c

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, ORANGEBURG COUNTY.

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS that we John D. Stocker as general partner, and Paul S. Felder, as special partner, both residing in Orangeburg County, do hereby certify that we have this day entered into a LIMITED PARTNERSHIP to transact a general business as merchants, in the Village of Orangeburg, for five years from the date hereof, under the provisions of the Act of Assembly, 1837, 6 Statutes at Large page 578—et sequitur—each Partner having contributed five thousand (5000) dollars in cash.
Witness our hands this 19th day of April, 1869.
JOHN D. STOCKER,
PAUL S. FELDER.
april 21 6c

ORANGEBURG COUNTY, PROBATE COURT.

Ex parte John Adden, Administrator Estate of George Merhtins.
WHEREAS, John Adden having filed his petition and final return in this Court praying for letters of dismissal as Administrator of the Estate and Effects of George Merhtins, deceased. Notice is hereby given to all concerned that on Friday the 28th day of May next, I will proceed to grant said dismissal.
Witness my hand and seal of Court, this 27th day of April, A. D. 1869.
THAD. C. ANDREWS,
Judge of Probate.
may 1—4t

Groceries, Hardware, &c.
Just received a fresh supply of SUGARS, COFFEE, BACON, FLOUR, &c. Good MOLASSES at 65 cts. per gallon. COCONUTS, ORANGES, LEMONS, &c. BOOKS AND STATIONERY of all descriptions at low prices.
TO RENT—A set of Rooms suitable for a small family, with Kitchen and Stable, on the Premises.
KIRK ROBINSON,
april 17—1y Russell Street.